



3623

[Handwritten signature]

Docket No.: 110275.4300US1

PATENT/OFFICIAL

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Mark STALLMAN

Serial No. 09/697,316

Filed: October 27, 2000

:
:
:
:
:
:

Group Art Unit: 3623

Examiner: JEANTY, Romain

For: System And Method Of Aggregating Data From A Plurality Of
Data Generating Machines

AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is an Amendment in response to the Office Action mailed February 18, 2004.


The following amendments and remarks are respectfully submitted.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims, which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 12 of this paper.

Amendments to the Claims

The list of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:



Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/697,316

First Named Applicant: STALLMANN

Examiner: JEANTY, Romain

Art Unit: 3623

Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Irah H. Donner, Esq.

(2)

(3)

(4)

Proposed Date of Interview: TBA

Proposed Time: (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic

(2) ☒ Personal

(3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES

☐ NO

If yes, provide brief description:

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) 102/103 Rej.	1-53	Weigen	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet Attached					

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Discuss why Weigen is not prior art. Discuss differences between invention and prior art references, as well as benefits invention achieves.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.


Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

IRA H. DONNER

Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.